

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

MARCUS J. SMITH, )  
Plaintiff, ) No. CV-11-3027-JPH  
v. ) ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND  
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner ) RECOMMENDATION  
of Social Security, )  
Defendant. )

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation entered on September 27, 2012, ECF No. [30](#), recommending Defendant Michael J. Astrue's Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. [27](#), be granted. On October 11, 2012, Plaintiff Marcus J. Smith filed objections restating the same arguments raised in prior briefing, essentially asking the Court to re-weigh the evidence. ECF No. [31](#).

It is not the reviewing Court's function to re-weigh the evidence that was before the administrative law judge (ALJ). Reversal is appropriate only if the ALJ's decision was not supported by the evidence in the record as a whole or if the ALJ applied the wrong legal standard. See *Molina v. Astrue*, 674 F.3d 1104, 1110 (2012) (citing *Stone v. Heckler*, 761 F.2d 530, 531 (9th Cir. 1985)).

Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation, the Court adopts

1 the magistrate judge's recommendations in their entirety.

2 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

3 1. The Report and Recommendation, **ECF No. 30**, is **ADOPTED in**  
4 **its entirety.**

5 2. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, **ECF No. 27**, is  
6 **GRANTED.**

7 3. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, **ECF No. 25**, is  
8 **DENIED.**

9 4. Judgment is to be entered in Defendant's favor with  
10 prejudice.

11 5. This file shall be **CLOSED**.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is directed to  
13 enter this Order and forward copies to the parties and Magistrate  
14 Judge Hutton.

15 **DATED** this 16<sup>th</sup> day of October 2012.

16  
17 

---

S/ Edward F. Shea  
18 EDWARD F. SHEA  
Senior United States District Judge

19 Q:\EFS\Civil\2011\3017.orderadptongRR.wpd